



CENTRE FOR
JOINT WARFARE
STUDIES

GL/32/26

**PAKISTAN'S INTERNAL FISSURE: KPK & BALOCHISTAN
AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR INDIA
BY
MR SUSHANT SAREEN**

**ORGANISED BY CENJOWS
17 SEP 2026**

PAKISTAN'S INTERNAL SECURITY CHALLENGES AND IMPLICATIONS FOR
INDIA

BY MR SUSHANT SAREEN

ORGANISED BY CENJOWS ON 17 SEP 2026

Pakistan's Security Posture and Strategic Calculations

The guest lecture examined Pakistan's evolving internal security environment, focusing on insurgencies in Balochistan and Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP), the changing capabilities of militant organisations, and the consequences for India's strategic choices. The speaker assessed Pakistan as concerned about the insurgencies but not treating them as an immediate existential threat. Islamabad's priorities remain economic recovery, attracting investment, political consolidation, and securing diplomatic gains. Consequently, it has favoured containment, censorship, intelligence-led actions, and limited operations over large-scale military campaigns comparable to earlier "steamroller" offensives. This approach rests on the assumption that the state retains superior firepower and can defer decisive action until its resources and capacity improve. However, postponement may allow insurgent networks to expand their influence, while a major offensive could impose serious economic, political, and humanitarian costs. The central dilemma for Pakistan is therefore how to restore state authority without further damaging governance, investment, and public confidence.

Balochistan: From Elite Resistance to Broader Mobilisation

The speaker described a significant transformation in Balochistan's insurgency: resistance once associated primarily with tribal elites has broadened to include educated and middle-class actors. Groups such as the Balochistan Liberation Front (BLF) and Balochistan Liberation Army (BLA) were presented as increasingly capable of coordinating attacks across districts, disrupting convoys and commerce, and sabotaging infrastructure. The participation of educated women in militant operations and the use of propaganda indicate changes in recruitment, organisation, and political messaging. The lecture also highlighted the insurgents' ability to gain local sympathy or acceptance in some areas, suggesting that the conflict is not solely a military contest but also a struggle

over legitimacy and public allegiance. At the same time, the Pakistani military reportedly faces constraints on movement and safe access in parts of the province. Smuggling networks, corruption, and vested interests further complicate state responses; the speaker also referred to media reports about the recruitment of former Afghan fighters for counterinsurgency roles in Pashtun areas. These factors illustrate how weak local legitimacy and entrenched informal economies can limit the effectiveness of conventional security operations.

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and the Transformation of the TTP

In KP, the Tehrik-i-Taliban Pakistan (TTP) was described as having reconstituted itself into a more cohesive umbrella organisation under its current leadership. According to the speaker, its approach has moved away from indiscriminate mass-casualty attacks in urban centres towards selective violence, targeted operations, and more deliberate political communication. By commenting on social, political, and environmental issues, the group seeks to broaden its appeal beyond its immediate militant base. The speaker also noted that the TTP has, for now, avoided sustained major attacks in Punjab, while local resistance to large-scale military operations constrains the Pakistani Army's options. These developments suggest that counterinsurgency cannot be understood through force ratios alone: political polarisation, community opposition to military campaigns, and the credibility of local authorities all shape the state's room for manoeuvre.

Governance, Public Legitimacy, and Tactical Adaptation

A recurring theme was the political vacuum in insurgency-affected areas. Traditional politicians and tribal notables have reportedly lost credibility in some communities, creating space for militant groups to present themselves as alternative sources of authority. Heavy-handed security measures, punitive legal frameworks, human-rights concerns, and inadequate compensation or reconstruction after earlier operations can deepen civilian alienation and make reconciliation more difficult. The speaker also drew attention to the insurgents' improving tactical coordination and use of low-cost, locally adapted weapons and ordnance. Such changes can increase the reach and lethality of attacks against security personnel and infrastructure even where insurgents lack the resources for a prolonged conventional campaign. Their long-term sustainability may be

limited by weak external support and logistical constraints, but these limitations do not eliminate their ability to disrupt state authority in the near term.

Inter-Group Links and External Support: A Cautious Assessment

The lecture cautioned against accepting official narratives about links among the BLA, TTP, the Taliban, and transnational jihadist organisations without independent evidence. Tactical cooperation or informal understandings between groups may occur when they face common adversaries, but this does not establish a unified command structure or ideological merger. The speaker considered decisive external sponsorship insufficiently established in the material discussed, while noting Pakistan's own history of pragmatic relationships with militant organisations and the use of groups such as the Islamic State Khorasan Province (ISKP) as proxy instruments. The analytical implication is that claims about sponsorship, coordination, and command-and-control should be assessed separately and supported by evidence rather than treated as interchangeable propositions.

Implications for India

The principal policy message was that India must define its desired end-state in relation to Pakistan before deciding how to respond to its internal instability. Potential outcomes, including a stronger Pakistani state reasserting control, increased Taliban influence, or further fragmentation, would each carry different and potentially serious consequences for Indian security. Instability may create short-term opportunities, but attempting to exploit it without a coherent long-term objective could generate unpredictable risks. Indian policy therefore requires clarity on whether its priority is containment, management of threats, eventual normalisation, or an effort to shape longer-term developments. This objective should guide the selection of diplomatic, political, and security instruments and promote coordination across relevant institutions. The lecture did not produce formal decisions; rather, it offered a framework for evaluating escalation risks, economic and humanitarian consequences, and the limits of opportunistic policy.

Overall Assessment

The lecture's central conclusion was that insurgencies in Balochistan and KP have evolved in organisation, tactics, political messaging, and local reach, while Pakistan's

response remains constrained by economic pressures, political divisions, governance weaknesses, and the costs of large-scale military action. Military capability alone is unlikely to resolve conflicts where state legitimacy and civilian cooperation have deteriorated. For analysts, careful verification of claims about militant links and external support is essential. For India, the most important lesson is to establish a clear strategic objective before attempting to leverage Pakistan's internal crises, recognising that short-term gains may carry substantial long-term uncertainty.