

IRAQ: THE BIRTHPLACE OF MODERN TERROR NETWORKS

MS ANSHIKA GUPTA

CENTRE FOR JOINT WARFARE STUDIES



CENJOWS

Iraq: The Birthplace of Modern Terror Networks



Anshika Gupta is a Research Assistant at CENJOWS

Introduction

The 2003 United States-led invasion of Iraq stands as one of the most consequential geopolitical decisions of the twenty-first century. Premised on unverified claims of weapons of mass destruction and a contested nexus between the Ba'athist regime and transnational terrorism, the intervention toppled a functioning (if authoritarian) state and unleashed dynamics that would reshape the security architecture of West Asia for decades. The vacuum created by the collapse of the Iraqi state, compounded by catastrophic post-conflict management decisions, became the incubator for what is now recognised as the world's most sophisticated modern terror network: the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS), also known by the Arabic acronym Daesh.

For India, the repercussions of this conflict have been far-reaching. Iraq is not merely a distant theatre of American misadventure; it is the country that supplies the second largest share of India's crude oil imports, hosts tens of thousands of Indian workers, and has served as the epicentre from which transnational jihadist ideology has radiated outwards, reaching Indian shores through digital radicalism, foreign fighter networks, and

the ideological grooming of Indian youth. The fall of Mosul in 2014, the abduction and killing of Indian workers by ISIS, and the documented recruitment of Indian citizens into ISIS cells from Kerala to Karnataka make this a matter of direct national security concern.¹

This paper examines the causal chain running from the structural failures of the 2003 invasion to the emergence and consolidation of ISIS and maps the specific security challenges that this trajectory has imposed on India. The analysis proceeds through four stages: first, the invasion's dismantling of the Iraqi state and the enabling conditions this created; second, the genealogy of ISIS from Al-Qaeda in Iraq (AQI) to the caliphate; third, the concrete strategic risks to India across the domains of energy security, diaspora safety, and internal radicalisation; and fourth, India's evolving policy responses and residual institutional gaps.

Structural Roots: Invasion, State Collapse, and the Seeds of Insurgency

- **The Coalition Provisional Authority's Fatal Decisions**

When the United States' forces entered Baghdad on 9 April 2003, the formal campaign to unseat Saddam Hussein was completed within three weeks. What followed, however, was not a swift transition to stability but a cascading institutional collapse that would take over a decade to partially stabilise. The two foundational decisions of the Coalition Provisional Authority (CPA), de-Ba'athification under CPA Order No. 1, and the dissolution of the Iraqi military under CPA Order No. 2 have since been characterised by scholars and policymakers alike as the proximate causes of the insurgency that gave birth to ISIS.²

CPA Order No. 1, promulgated in April 2003, targeted the upper four tiers of Ba'ath Party membership across all public institutions. While designed as a transitional justice measure, its implementation was sweeping and indiscriminate. Teachers, engineers, doctors, and civil servants who had joined the Ba'ath Party as a professional necessity and not as ideological loyalists were summarily dismissed, depriving the new Iraqi state of the very expertise required to reconstitute governance. Critically, American officials made the error of conflating Ba'ath

membership with Sunni identity, thereby transforming a de-politicisation exercise into what Sunni communities perceived as de-Sunnification.³

Order No. 2 compounded this error by dissolving the Iraqi army in its entirety. Approximately 400,000 trained military personnel were rendered unemployed overnight, retaining their weapons, their tactical knowledge, and critically their grievances. As the British Government's Iraq Inquiry (the Chilcot Report) later documented, the disbanding of the military generated a large cohort of men who were trained, armed, and unemployed, making them natural recruits for an insurgency resisting foreign occupation.⁴

The subsequent political order further entrenched sectarian divisions. Under Prime Minister Nouri al-Maliki, the Shia-dominated government systematically marginalised Sunni Arabs through targeted arrests of Sunni leaders under terrorism charges, selective application of de-Ba'athification, and discriminatory security sector recruitment. The Carnegie Endowment for International Peace documented how a narrative of communal victimhood came to dominate Sunni political consciousness, a perception weaponised by the jihadist organisations that were already consolidating in the power vacuum.⁵

- **The Sectarian Civil War and the Prison Incubator**

By 2004, Iraq had descended into sectarian civil war. Al-Qaeda's affiliate in Iraq, led by the Jordanian militant Abu Musab al-Zarqawi, deliberately exploited the Sunni-Shia fault line through a campaign of targeted bombings at Shia shrines, mosques, and religious processions. Zarqawi calculated that provocation of Shia reprisals would radicalise Sunni communities further, rendering the United States unable to distinguish between insurgents and civilians and generating the preconditions for an Islamic state.⁶

A critical and insufficiently acknowledged dimension of ISIS's formation was the role of American detention facilities, particularly Camp Bucca, which held at its peak approximately 24,000 detainees. Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi, who would go on to declare himself caliph in 2014, was detained in Camp Bucca from 2004 to 2009. Within these facilities, Al-Qaeda in Iraq members, former Ba'athist military officers, and criminal elements were held together in conditions that facilitated ideological

cross-pollination and organisational planning. Senior ISIS commanders have referred to Camp Bucca as a 'university' for the Islamic State, noting that it was within the facility that the strategic alliance between jihadist ideology and military expertise was forged, an alliance that would prove devastatingly effective in the seizure of Mosul a decade later.⁷

From Al-Qaeda in Iraq to the Islamic State: A Genealogy of Terror

- **Al-Zarqawi and the Birth of Al Qaeda in Iraq (AQI)**

The organisational lineage of ISIS traces directly to Abu Musab al-Zarqawi, a Jordanian militant who had established Jama'at al-Tawhid wal-Jihad (JTJ) in 1999. Following the 2003 invasion, Zarqawi relocated his network to Iraq, where he recognised an unprecedented opportunity. His strategic approach was dual-pronged: direct attacks on coalition forces to raise the cost of occupation and a systematic campaign of sectarian violence designed to trigger the Sunni-Shia civil war he believed would create the conditions for an Islamic state. In October 2004, Zarqawi formally pledged allegiance to Osama bin Laden, transforming JTJ into Tanzim Qaidat al-Jihad fi Bilad al-Rafidayn, universally referred to as Al-Qaeda in Iraq (AQI).⁸

Zarqawi's campaign of spectacular violence with mass casualty bombings and hostage beheadings broadcast online was as much a communications strategy as a military one. He understood that visibility translated into recruitment, funding, and the projection of power far beyond Iraq's borders. His killing in a U.S. airstrike in June 2006 did not disrupt the movement; AQI reorganised under the Mujahideen Shura Council and, in October 2006, declared the Islamic State of Iraq (ISI), the direct institutional predecessor to ISIS.⁹

- **The Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi Era and the Declaration of the Caliphate**

Following the surge period of 2007-2008, during which U.S. forces temporarily suppressed the insurgency, AQI/ISI appeared to be in decline. However, al-Maliki's increasingly authoritarian governance reversed these gains. The arrest of Sunni political figures, the marginalisation of the Sahwa ('Awakening') councils that had cooperated with U.S. forces against AQI, and the wholesale exclusion of

Sunnis from the security apparatus reignited popular resentment in Anbar, Ninawa, and Salahadin provinces.¹⁰

Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi, who assumed leadership of ISI in 2010, leveraged these conditions with extraordinary organisational sophistication. As the Syrian civil war erupted in 2011, ISI expanded its operations westward, reconstituting itself as ISIS and eventually declaring a territorial caliphate on 29 June 2014. The capture of Mosul, Iraq's second-largest city in June 2014 by a force of approximately 1,500 fighters who routed a 60,000-strong Iraqi army was the most visible demonstration of ISI's military capability and of the catastrophic institutional failure of the post-2003 Iraqi state.¹¹

At his first public appearance in Mosul's Grand Mosque of al-Nuri on 4 July 2014, al-Baghdadi declared himself Caliph Ibrahim, demanding the allegiance of Muslims worldwide. His address explicitly cited India among the nations where, in his framing, Muslim rights were under threat, a rhetorical signal that the organisation viewed India as a legitimate target for expansion and recruitment.¹²

The caliphate at its territorial height in 2014-2015 controlled approximately 88,000 square kilometres spanning Iraq and Syria, administered a population of eight million, generated revenues estimated at over one billion U.S. dollars annually through oil sales, taxation, and extortion; and attracted over 40,000 foreign fighters from more than 100 countries. The Wilson Centre and the Congressional Research Service have documented how this unprecedented combination of territorial control, financial resources, and digital propaganda machinery transformed ISIS from a regional insurgent organisation into a global jihadist franchise.¹³

- **The Enduring Structural Challenge: Post-Territorial Persistence**

The territorial defeat of ISIS, formally completed with the fall of Baghouz in March 2019, did not extinguish the organisation. The death of al-Baghdadi in a U.S. Special Operations raid in Idlib, Syria, in October 2019, removed the symbolic figurehead but left intact the organisational architecture, the ideological infrastructure, and the global network of affiliated franchises. The UN Security Council's Monitoring Team has consistently reported that ISIS retains between

5,000 and 7,000 fighters in Iraq and Syria operating in an insurgent mode, continues to conduct attacks across Kirkuk, Diyala, and Anbar provinces, and has significantly expanded its Khorasan Province (ISIS-K) operations in Afghanistan and South Asia, a development with direct implications for India's security environment.¹⁴

India's Strategic Stakes: Energy Security, Diaspora Safety, and Internal Radicalisation

- **Energy Security: Iraq as the Cornerstone of India's Hydrocarbon Architecture**

Iraq's strategic importance to India transcends the abstractions of geopolitical analysis; it is measured in barrels of oil per day. Iraq has consistently been among India's top two or three crude oil suppliers, accounting for approximately 20 per cent of India's total crude imports in recent years. India's overall crude oil import dependency stood at 87.7 per cent of consumption in 2023-24, rising to 88.5 per cent in the first ten months of FY 2025-26, making the stability of supply corridors a matter of direct macroeconomic significance.¹⁵

The structural vulnerability is compounded by refinery architecture. As the Standing Committee on Petroleum and Natural Gas noted in its December 2023 report, Indian refineries have been specifically configured and adapted to process the medium-sour crude grades characteristic of Iraqi production. This technical dependency means that supply disruptions from Iraq cannot be compensated simply by redirecting purchases; they require either expensive refinery modifications or acceptance of less favourable processing economics.¹⁶

The implications of Iraqi instability for India's energy security are therefore direct and severe. During the ISIS territorial advances of 2014, which temporarily threatened oilfields in Kirkuk and the broader northern Iraqi region, India was compelled to reassess its supply assumptions. The ISIS period also coincided with India's bilateral trade with Iraq declining from a peak trajectory. India imported crude oil worth approximately 28.11 billion U.S. dollars from Iraq in 2024, and bilateral trade in FY 2022-23 totalled 37.08 billion U.S. dollars, making Iraq India's fifth-largest trading partner in that year.¹⁷

The Government of India's Hydrocarbon Vision Document has emphasised the strategic imperative of diversifying energy import sources. While India has partially achieved this through the dramatic expansion of Russian crude imports since 2022, Russia's market share of India's crude imports rose from 1 per cent in 2017 to approximately 36 per cent by 2024. This diversification introduced its own vulnerabilities, including sanctions exposure and the risk of political pressure from Western partners. Iraq therefore remains an indispensable anchor of India's energy security architecture, and the structural instability generated by the 2003 invasion and its aftermath continues to impose a persistent risk premium on this dependency.¹⁸

More fundamentally, the West Asia geopolitical environment has deteriorated in ways that compound Iraq's specific vulnerabilities. India's exposure to the Strait of Hormuz, through which a substantial portion of its Gulf crude imports are transported, represents a chokepoint vulnerability. In the current regional environment, any escalation involving Iraq's neighbours creates direct shock transmission mechanisms into India's energy pricing and availability. The Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas has maintained a 24/7 monitoring mechanism for petroleum stocks, and Oil Marketing Companies are reported to hold approximately 64.5 days of crude reserves which is still below the 90-day minimum recommended by the International Energy Agency for member states.¹⁹

- **Diaspora Safety: The Human Cost of Iraqi Instability**

Before the first Gulf War in 1991, India maintained a community of over 80,000 nationals in Iraq. The successive conflicts of 1991, 2003, and the ISIS surge of 2014 progressively decimated this presence. Yet the economic opportunities created by Iraq's reconstruction, particularly in the Kurdistan Region, which maintained relative stability, have drawn Indian workers back in significant numbers. The Embassy of India in Baghdad estimates that several thousand Indian nationals are currently employed in Iraq, primarily in Kurdistan's oil sector, construction industry, and manufacturing.²⁰

The security consequences of Iraqi instability for Indian nationals were most acutely demonstrated in 2014, when ISIS captured approximately 40 Indian

construction workers in the Mosul area. The subsequent confirmation of their deaths, disclosed by the then-External Affairs Minister Sushma Swaraj in Parliament in 2018, following the discovery of a mass grave at Badoosh, constituted the single largest loss of Indian civilian lives to international terrorism in recent history. The incident forced a fundamental reassessment of the Indian government's consular preparedness, evacuation protocols, and the adequacy of travel advisories for conflict-affected zones.²¹

Beyond Iraq itself, the broader Indian diaspora in the Gulf region, estimated at over 9 million persons in Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries, constituting the largest expatriate community in the GCC faces systemic exposure to the instability generated by the ISIS-catalysed regional disorder. Gulf countries contribute approximately 38 per cent of India's total remittance inflows, which reached 135.4 billion U.S. dollars in FY 2025, making the Gulf the single most important source of diaspora remittances. These flows, equivalent to approximately 3.5 per cent of India's GDP, are directly threatened by extended regional conflict.²²

The Middle East Institute and the Institut Français des Relations Internationales (IFRI) have both documented the evolution of Indian diplomatic strategy in response to diaspora vulnerability. The creation of the Ministry of Overseas Indian Affairs in 2004, subsequently merged with the Ministry of External Affairs in 2015 and the expansion of consular networks in the Gulf region reflect the institutional recognition that diaspora safety management has become a core function of Indian foreign policy, rather than an ancillary concern.²³

- **Foreign Fighter Pathways and Internal Radicalisation: The Domestic Security Dimension**

Perhaps the most strategically significant long-term consequence of Iraq's destabilisation for India is not external; it is internal. The ideological infrastructure constructed by ISIS through its highly sophisticated media operations, its multilingual online recruitment apparatus, and its invocation of a transnational caliphate transcending state boundaries has generated measurable radicalisation effects within India's Muslim community, particularly in Kerala, Karnataka, Uttar Pradesh, and Maharashtra.²⁴

Kerala has emerged as the primary domestic hotspot for ISIS recruitment, for reasons rooted in its Gulf diaspora connections, the pre-existing presence of radical organisations such as the Students Islamic Movement of India (SIMI) and the subsequently banned Popular Front of India (PFI), and the return of self-radicalised individuals from Syria and Afghanistan who then recruited within their communities. The most documented case is that of Rashid Abdulla, who in 2016 led a group of at least 21 individuals, including families from Kerala to Afghanistan to join pro-ISIS factions; many were subsequently killed in U.S. or Afghan airstrikes.²⁵

The role of online recruitment must be emphasised. A study of patterns documented by The Diplomat and the Observer Research Foundation notes that almost half of arrested ISIS members from India have been linked to a single online recruiter: Shafi Armar, a Karnataka native operating from Syria under the alias Yusuf-al-Hindi. This pattern of virtual recruitment, leveraging encrypted communications, social media platforms, and multilingual propaganda has transformed ISIS's reach in India from a physical to a digital threat, enabling radicalisation without direct geographic contact.²⁶

The National Investigation Agency (NIA) has registered over 155 ISIS-related cases since 2014 and has dismantled multiple modules across Indian states. In September 2021, intelligence agencies uncovered an ISIS plan to establish a southern India caliphate with Bengaluru as its base, with operatives having conducted recruitment meetings across Karnataka and Tamil Nadu since 2019. In March 2024, Haris Farooqi, identified by the NIA as the head of the Islamic State in India, was arrested along with a senior associate; Farooqi had reportedly established ISIS cells across seven Indian states, including Karnataka, Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh, and Jharkhand.²⁷

The foreign fighter pathway represents a distinct dimension of this threat. Individuals radicalised in India who travel to conflict zones acquire operational training, tactical experience, and ideological commitment that, upon return, transform them into significantly more capable and motivated assets for domestic terror networks. The Hegghammer thesis that returned foreign fighters are substantially more lethal than homegrown radicals is directly applicable to the

Indian context, where the NIA and state Anti-Terrorism Squads have documented the enhanced operational capacity of returnees from Syria, Iraq, and Afghanistan.²⁸

A specific concern for Indian security planners is the trajectory of ISIS-Khorasan Province (ISIS-K), which operates primarily out of Afghanistan and represents the primary projection of the global ISIS brand into South Asia. The UN Monitoring Team's 2024 report confirmed that ISIS-K views India as a target for attack and propaganda, with its Voice of Hind magazine, that is released since February 2020, having contributed to radicalisation in Jammu and Kashmir. The strategic autonomy doctrine that has allowed India to maintain non-alignment in global conflicts has not insulated it from the ideological attention of transnational jihadist groups whose grievance narratives are untethered from state-level geopolitical calculations.²⁹

India's Policy Response: Institutions, Law, and Residual Gaps

- **The Legal and Institutional Architecture**

India's counter-terrorism architecture has evolved substantially since the 2003 invasion, driven by successive security crises ranging from the 2008 Mumbai attacks to the domestic ISIS radicalisation challenges of the 2014-2020 period. The National Investigation Agency, established under the NIA Act of 2008 in the aftermath of the Mumbai attacks, has jurisdiction over terror-related offences nationwide and has developed a specific competency in ISIS-related investigations. The NIA's ability expanded under the 2019 Amendment to initiate investigations without state permission and to prosecute offences committed against Indian nationals abroad has materially enhanced its operational effectiveness.³⁰

The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act (UAPA), amended significantly in 2004, 2008, and 2019, provides the primary legal framework. The 2019 amendment's provision allowing the designation of individuals, not merely organisations as terrorists, without requiring a formal judicial process, has been particularly consequential for ISIS-related prosecutions. Under NIA management, conviction rates in UAPA cases approach 95 per cent, compared to 20-30 per cent in state-

managed cases, a disparity that underscores the importance of federal counter-terrorism competency.³¹

India's first formally articulated National Counter-Terrorism Policy, PRAHAAR, was published by the Ministry of Home Affairs in February 2026. This represents a significant doctrinal evolution: for the first time, India has a publicly articulated, unified counter-terrorism doctrine integrating legal, institutional, operational, and 'soft power' dimensions. PRAHAAR explicitly acknowledges that decentralised, franchise-style groups like Al-Qaeda and ISIS draw ideological energy from conflicts ranging from Afghanistan to Syria and Gaza, recognising the transnational causal chain at the heart of this analysis.³²

- **Intelligence Cooperation and Multi-Agency Coordination**

At the intelligence level, India has developed bilateral counter-terrorism cooperation with Gulf Cooperation Council states, reflecting the common security interest in monitoring ISIS networks operating within large Indian diaspora communities. India has signed Memoranda of Understanding with Saudi Arabia, the UAE, Oman, and Qatar covering military training, intelligence sharing, and anti-money-laundering cooperation. The UAE has deported multiple Indian nationals for alleged ISIS links, reflecting operational counter-terrorism coordination that would have been institutionally absent a decade ago.³³

India's engagement with multilateral counter-terrorism mechanisms has also deepened. The No Money for Terror (NMFT) Conference, hosted by India in New Delhi in November 2022, reflected New Delhi's positioning as a proactive participant in the global effort to dismantle terror financing networks, a role that aligns directly with its national security interests given the ISIS financing channels that have historically targeted recruitment in India through Gulf-based hawala networks.³⁴

- **Residual Challenges and Institutional Gaps**

Despite these advances, significant gaps remain. The Multi-Agency Centre (MAC), which serves as the intelligence-sharing hub, suffers from persistent coordination asymmetries between central and state agencies. PRAHAAR itself acknowledges that despite the NIA's federal mandate, immediate jurisdiction after

a terror incident rests with local police, creating a 'golden hours' problem in which the first responders most critical to evidence collection and suspect containment are the least trained in counter-terrorism protocols.³⁵

Online radicalisation presents an evolving challenge that current legal instruments address imperfectly. The NIA has uncovered radicalisation networks operating entirely through encrypted messaging applications, with no physical meetings, no physical training, and no cross-border travel, making traditional surveillance and interception methods insufficient. The algorithmic amplification of extremist content on mainstream social media platforms has outpaced the regulatory frameworks for content removal, creating persistent digital vectors for ISIS propaganda.³⁶

Energy security planning remains structurally reactive. While India has made commendable progress in diversifying its crude oil import sources, its strategic petroleum reserves, at approximately 64.5 days, remain below the IEA's 90-day minimum recommendation. The absence of a comprehensive, publicly articulated energy security strategy that accounts for sustained Iraqi instability as a baseline scenario rather than a contingency represents a structural policy gap with direct macroeconomic consequences.³⁷

Conclusion: Iraq's Long Shadow over Indian Security

The 2003 invasion of Iraq was, in the terminology of strategic studies, a 'system-disrupting' event, one whose consequences were not merely proportional to the immediate military action but catalytic, generating second and third-order effects that reshaped the regional security environment for decades. For India, these effects operate across multiple simultaneous dimensions: energy dependency on an unstable state, the physical vulnerability of millions of Indian workers in a region transformed by ISIS-catalysed disorder, and the domestic security challenge of transnational jihadist ideology transmitted through digital channels into Indian communities.

The causal chain from CPA Order No. 2 to the radicalisation of Indian youth in Kerala is long but traceable. The disbanding of the Iraqi army produced the military expertise that ISIS weaponised; the sectarian marginalisation of Sunnis produced the popular legitimacy on which ISIS's recruitment depended; the territorial caliphate produced the

ideological inspiration and the operational training infrastructure that ISIS projected globally; and the global digital propaganda machinery that ISIS built between 2014 and 2019 and which continues to operate through franchises and affiliates reached Indian communities through the same Gulf diaspora networks that are also the primary channel of India's remittance income.

India's policy responses have been substantive and increasingly sophisticated, but they remain calibrated to a reactive rather than anticipatory framework. The publication of PRAHAAR represents a doctrinal step forward, but doctrine requires operationalisation. The energy security architecture requires systematic hardening through reserve expansion, refinery diversification, and active diplomatic investment in Iraqi stability, particularly in the Kurdistan Region, which has emerged as both India's primary oil source within Iraq and the most stable node in the bilateral relationship. The consular and diaspora management infrastructure must be further expanded, with proactive vulnerability mapping of Indian workers in conflict-adjacent zones.³⁸

Most fundamentally, India must internalise an analytical framework that treats the destabilisation of West Asia not as a remote geopolitical development but as a direct and ongoing risk multiplier for Indian security. The strategic ripples from the 2003 invasion have not yet dissipated. ISIS-K operates on India's western flank; ISIS-linked cells continue to be dismantled on Indian territory; and the underlying conditions, like Sunni marginalisation in Iraq, weak governance in fragile states, and the digital infrastructure of transnational jihadism, remain unresolved. Proactive engagement, intelligence-led prevention, and resilient energy architecture are not merely policy options for India; they are strategic necessities.

DISCLAIMER

The paper is author's individual scholastic articulation and does not necessarily reflect the views of CENJOWS. The author certifies that the article is original in content, unpublished and it has not been submitted for publication/ web upload elsewhere and that the facts and figures quoted are duly referenced, as needed and are believed to be correct.

ENDNOTES

¹ Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India. "India-Iraq Relations." Embassy of India, Baghdad, updated 2024. <https://www.eoibaghdad.gov.in/page/india-iraq-relations/>

² Brands, Hal, and Peter Feaver. "Was the Rise of ISIS Inevitable?" *Survival* 59, no. 3 (2017): 7-54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00396338.2017.1325595>

³ Peace Policy, Kroc Institute for International Peace Studies. "De-Ba'thification and the Rise of ISIS." University of Notre Dame, November 2014. <https://peacepolicy.nd.edu/2014/11/24/de-bathification-and-the-rise-of-isis/>

⁴ UK Government. "Iraq's Sunni Insurgency (2003-2013): Case Study." Stabilisation Unit, Cabinet Office, 2018. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5c19117140f0b60bacefd27f/Iraq_case_study.pdf

⁵ Almutairi, Nawaf. "Iraq's Sectarian Crisis: A Legacy of Exclusion." Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, April 2014. <https://carnegieendowment.org/research/2014/04/iraqs-sectarian-crisis-a-legacy-of-exclusion>

⁶ Grimshaw Review of International Affairs. "The Descent into Chaos: A Theoretical Analysis of the Iraq War." London School of Economics, August 2024. <https://grimshawreview.lse.ac.uk/articles/6>

⁷ Cornell International Affairs Review. "Consequences of Iraqi De-Baathification." Cornell University, 2016. https://journals.library.cornell.edu/tmpfiles/CIAR_9_2_2.pdf

⁸ Congressional Research Service. "Al Qaeda: Background, Current Status, and U.S. Policy." CRS In Focus IF11854, 2021. https://www.congress.gov/crs_external_products/IF/PDF/IF11854/IF11854.6.pdf

⁹ Wilson Center. "Timeline: The Rise, Spread, and Fall of the Islamic State." Washington D.C., 2019. <https://www.wilsoncenter.org/article/timeline-the-rise-spread-and-fall-the-islamic-state>

¹⁰ O'Driscoll, Dylan. "Sectarianism, Governance, and Iraq's Future." Brookings Institution, 2018. https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Sectarianism-governance-and-Iraqs-future_English.pdf

¹¹ Revkin, Mara, and William McCants. "Cyclical Jihadist Governance: The Islamic State Governance Cycle in Iraq and Syria." *Small Wars & Insurgencies* 33, no. 7 (2022): 1177-1213. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09592318.2022.2116182>

¹² Observer Research Foundation. "Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi and the Rise of the ISIS." New Delhi, July 2014. <https://www.orfonline.org/research/abu-bakr-al-baghdadi-and-the-rise-of-the-isis>

¹³ Israeli, Ofer. "US Invasion of Iraq, 2003: Indirect Link of ISIS Rising." *Contemporary Review of the Middle East* 10, no. 2 (2023): 188-201. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23477989221150678>

¹⁴ United Nations Security Council Counter-Terrorism Committee. "Report of the Analytical Support and Sanctions Monitoring Team." S/2024/92. New York: United Nations, 2024. <https://www.justsecurity.org/98429/security-council-report-isis-qaeda/>

¹⁵ Standing Committee on Petroleum and Natural Gas, Parliament of India. "Review of Policy on Import of Crude Oil." New Delhi: PRS Legislative Research, December 2023. <https://prsindia.org/policy/report-summaries/review-of-policy-on-import-of-crude-oil>

¹⁶ Singh, Mamta. "Kurdistan Oil Exports and India-Iraq Energy Relations: Macroeconomic Evidence and Strategic Implications." *Kurdistan* 24, June 2025. <https://www.kurdistan24.net/en/opinion/920091>

¹⁷ United Nations COMTRADE Database. "India Imports from Iraq of Crude Oil-Historical Data 1988-2024." *Trading Economics*, 2026. <https://tradingeconomics.com/india/imports/iraq/crude-oil-petroleum-bituminous-minerals>

¹⁸ Takahashi, Kenichiro. "The Oil Policies of India: Torn Between the United States and Russia." Sasakawa Peace Foundation International Information Network Analysis, September 2025. https://www.spf.org/iina/en/articles/takahashi_07.html

¹⁹ The Diplomat. "Gulf War 3.0: How Is India Securing Its Oil Supplies?" March 16, 2026. <https://thediplomat.com/2026/03/gulf-war-3-0-how-is-india-securing-its-oil-supplies/>

²⁰ Embassy of India, Baghdad. "India-Iraq Relations: Indian Community." Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India, updated 2024. <https://www.eoibaghdad.gov.in/page/india-iraq-relations/>

²¹ Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India. "India-Iraq Bilateral Relations Brief." New Delhi: MEA, 2024. <https://www.mea.gov.in/Portal/ForeignRelation/Bilateral-Briefs.pdf>

²² CNBC. "Inside India Newsletter: Energy, Airlines, and Over \$50 Billion in Remittances to India at Risk." March 5, 2026. <https://www.cnbc.com/2026/03/05/iran-conflict-india-impact-remittance-pipeline.html>

²³ Institut Français des Relations Internationales (IFRI). "Diplomacy as Diaspora Management: The Case of India and the Gulf States." Paris: IFRI, 2020. <https://www.ifri.org/en/papers/diplomacy-diaspora-management-case-india-and-gulf-states>

²⁴ Observer Research Foundation. "The Islamic State in India's Kerala: A Primer." New Delhi: ORF, July 2024. <https://www.orfonline.org/research/the-islamic-state-in-india-s-kerala-a-primer>

-
- ²⁵ The Diplomat. "India and the Fight Against Islamic State." June 16, 2017. <https://thediplomat.com/2017/06/india-and-the-fight-against-islamic-state/>
- ²⁶ Counter Extremism Project. "India: Extremism & Terrorism." Updated 2024. <https://www.counterextremism.com/countries/india-extremism-and-terrorism>
- ²⁷ Counter Extremism Project. "India: Extremism & Terrorism." Updated 2024. <https://www.counterextremism.com/countries/india-extremism-and-terrorism>
- ²⁸ Tankel, Stephen. "*India and the Persian Gulf: Locked Out or Staying Out?*" Comparative Strategy 35, no. 1 (2016): 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01495933.2015.1089126>
- ²⁹ Just Security. "Wake Up Call: UN Security Council's Report on ISIS and al-Qaeda." August 5, 2024. <https://www.justsecurity.org/98429/security-council-report-isis-qaeda/>
- ³⁰ National Investigation Agency. "About NIA." Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India. <https://nia.gov.in/>
- ³¹ Anantam IAS Academy. "Terrorism in India: Types, Financing & Counter-Terror Framework." 2025. <https://anantamias.com/terrorism-india/>
- ³² Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India. "National Counter Terrorism Policy & Strategy - PRAHAAR." New Delhi: MHA, February 2026. <https://spmrf.org/prahaar-indias-first-national-counter-terror-strategy/>
- ³³ Middle East Institute. "India's Balancing Act in the Gulf." Washington D.C.: MEI, 2016. <https://www.mei.edu/publications/indias-balancing-act-gulf>
- ³⁴ Dalvoy IAS. "Terrorism in India: Manifestation and Counter Measures." 2025. <https://www.dalvoy.com/en/upsc/mains/previous-years/2025/general-studies-paper-iii/terrorism-india-manifestation-contemporary-examples-counter-measures>
- ³⁵ Drishti IAS. "National Counter-Terrorism Policy and Strategy: PRAHAAR." February 2026. <https://www.drishtiiias.com/daily-updates/daily-news-analysis/national-counterterrorism-policy-and-strategy-prahaar>
- ³⁶ India Briefing. "Strait of Hormuz & India's Oil Supply: Import Dependencies & Mitigation Measures." April 2, 2026. <https://www.india-briefing.com/news/indias-oil-supply-hormuz-diversification-strategy-43381.html/>
- ³⁷ Institute of South Asian Studies (ISAS), National University of Singapore. "India's Energy Security Challenge: Diversifying Crude Oil Imports." Singapore: NUS ISAS, January 2026. <https://www.isas.nus.edu.sg/papers/indias-energy-security-challenge-diversifying-crude-oil-imports/>
- ³⁸ StratNews Global. "India's Top Energy Partner Iraq Needs Reinvigorated Focus." January 28, 2025. <https://stratnewsglobal.com/world-news/indias-top-energy-partner-iraq-needs-reinvigorated-focus/>